## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## **CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL**

Case No.	SACV 24-2561 MWC (PVC)	Date: June 2, 2025
Title	Dorene Arnell Bartlett v. Frank Bisignano, Commissioner of Social Security	
Present:	The Honorable <u>Pedro V. Castillo, U</u>	Jnited States Magistrate Judge
	Marlene Ramirez	None
	Deputy Clerk	Court Reporter / Recorder
At	torneys Present for Plaintiff: None	Attorneys Present for Defendant: None

## PROCEEDINGS: [IN CHAMBERS] ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE

On November 21, 2024, Plaintiff filed a Complaint seeking review of Defendant's decision denying disability benefits. (Dkt. No. 1). On January 24, 2025, the Commissioner Answered the Complaint, which was limited to filing the Administrative Record. (Dkt. No. 7). Pursuant to the Supplemental Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for Social Security Cases, Plaintiff was required to file a brief in support of her Complaint no later than February 24, 2025. See Fed. R. Civ. P. Supp. SS Rules 5, 6. As of today, Plaintiff has neither filed her brief nor requested an extension of time in which to do so.

Accordingly, Plaintiff is **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE**, within **14 days** of the date of this Order, why this action should not be dismissed with prejudice for failure to prosecute, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). Plaintiff may discharge this Order by filing her brief in support of the Complaint. <u>Plaintiff is expressly advised that failure to timely file the required memorandum will result in this action being dismissed with prejudice for failure to prosecute, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).</u>

IT IS SO ORDERED.

	00:00
<b>Initials of Preparer</b>	mr